

Hindsight bias, Ponzi and the great depression of 2019-21

MUFG Securities EMEA plc
A member of MUFG, a global financial group

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 27, 2018

DR. BRENDAN BROWN
ECONOMIC RESEARCH
T +44 (0)20 7577 2712
BRENDAN.BROWN@MUFGSECURITIES.COM

KEY MESSAGES

- Optimism regarding a Fed put and economic rebound in 2019/20 is most likely misplaced. The loudest echo from the laboratory of history could well be that of the Great Depression of 1930-2. Read on!

Which echo – 1926-8; 1930-32 or 1937-8?

Let's move on from the wild swings across multiple markets from Monday to Wednesday this week which leave little net change from the end of last week.

Some pundits talk of the short squeezes which are typical along the way in bear markets, accentuated of course by thin trading conditions and end-year window-dressing.

The profound question which transcends all this day-to-day drama action is the nature of the economic slowdown now occurring globally including the US. And one data point over the holidays – the slump in the Richmond Fed manufacturing index – reminds us of the issues at stake. (The composite index dropped from 14 in November to -8 in December, weighed down by drops in the indices for new orders and shipments. At -25 the shipments index was at its lowest reading since April 2009).

In reviewing the laboratory of history – especially those experiments where there have been episodes of severe asset inflation unaccompanied by high consumer price inflation – three possible “echoes” (see below) deserve attention in coming weeks and months. (History echoes rather than repeats!).

The behavioral finance theorists tell us, with the benefit of hindsight which echo and which outcome occurs will seem more obvious in hindsight than to anyone in real time.

As Daniel Kahneman writes (in “Thinking Fast and Slow”):

The core of hindsight bias is that we believe we understand the past, which implies the future should also be knowable; but in fact we understand the past less than we believe we do – compelling narratives foster an illusion of inevitability; but no such story can include the myriad of events that would have caused a different outcome.

Whichever historical echo turns out to be loudest as the Great Monetary Inflation of 2011-18 enters its late dangerous phase – whether 1927-9, 1920-3, 1937-8 – the story will seem obvious in retrospect, at least according to skilled narrators. There may be competing narrators about these events – even decades into the future, just as there still is today about each of the above mentioned episodes. In particular the Austrian School, the Keynesians and the monetarists, tell very different tales and the weight of historical evidence does not knock out any of these competitors.

Economic Viewpoint

And on the subject of behavioral finance in assessing potential echoes and possible outcomes we should consider Robert Shiller's insight (Irrational Exuberance):

Speculative feedback loops that are in effect naturally occurring Ponzi schemes do arise from time to time without the contrivance of a fraudulent manager. Even if there is no manipulator fabricating false stories and deliberately deceiving investors in the aggregate stock market, tales about the market are everywhere..... The path of a naturally occurring Ponzi scheme – if we may call speculative bubbles that – will be more irregular and less dramatic since there is no direct manipulation but the path may sometimes resemble that of a Ponzi scheme when it is supported by naturally occurring stories.

Bottom line: great asset inflations are populated by “naturally occurring Ponzi schemes”, with the most extreme and blatant including Dutch tulips, Tokyo golf clubs, Iceland credits, and less extreme but much more economically important featuring in recent history say financial equities in 2003-6 or the FANMGs in 2015-18; and perhaps the biggest in this cycle could yet be private equity.

Back to the historical echoes

First, could 2019/21 feature a loud echo of 1926-8 (which in turn had echoes in 1987-9, 1998-9, and 2015-17)?

The characteristic of 1926-8 was a “Fed put” in the midst of an incipient cool-down of asset inflation (along with a growth cycle slowdown or even onset of mild recession) which succeeds apparently in igniting a fresh economic rebound and extension/intensification of asset inflation for a while longer (two years or more). (In mid-1927 New York Fed Governor Benjamin Strong administered his coup de whiskey to the stock market (and to the German loan boom), notwithstanding the protest of Reichsbank President Schacht).

The conditions for such a Fed put to be successful include a still strong current of speculative story telling (the narratives have not yet become tired or even sick); the mal-investment and other forms of over-spending (including types of consumption) must not be on such a huge scale as already going into reverse; and the camouflage of leverage – so much a component of “natural Ponzi schemes” must not yet be broken. The magicians, otherwise called “financial engineers” still hold power over market attention.

The central view here (Economic Viewpoint) is that we have passed the stage in this cycle where such a further kiss of life could be given to asset inflation.

And so we move on to the **second** possible echo: could this be 1937-8?

There are some similarities in background.

Several years of massive QE under the Roosevelt Administration (1934-6) (not called such and due ostensibly to the monetization of massive gold inflows to the US) culminated in a stock market and commodity market bubble in 1936, to which the Fed responded by effecting a tiny rise in interest rates whilst clawing back QE. Under huge political pressure the Fed reversed these measures in early 1937; a

Economic Viewpoint

weakening stock market seems to reverse. But then came the Crash of late Summer (and early Autumn 1937) and the confirmed onset of the Roosevelt recession (roughly mid-1937 to mid-1938) – even more severe than the 1929.30 downturn. But then there was a rapid re-bounce.

On further consideration, there are grounds for scepticism about whether this will be loud echo of the near future.

In 1937 there had been barely three years of economic expansion. Credit bubbles and investment spending bubbles (mal-investment) were hardly to be seen. And the monetary inflation in the US was independent and very different from monetary conditions in Europe, where in fact the parallel economic downturn was very mild if even present. And of course the re-bounce had much to do with military re-armament.

It is troubling that **the third possible** echo – that of the Great Depression 1930-2 – could be the most likely to occur.

The Great Depression from a US perspective was two back-to-back recessions; first the severe recession of autumn 1929 to mid-1931; and then the immediate onset of an even more devastating downturn from summer 1931 to summer 1932 (then extended by the huge uncertainty related to the incoming Roosevelt Administration and its gold policy). It was the global credit meltdown – the unwinding of the credit bubble of the 1920s most importantly as regards the giant lending boom into Germany – which triggered that second recession and snuffed out a putative recovery in mid-1931.

It is possible to imagine such a two-stage process in the present instance.

Equity market tumble accompanies a pull-back of consumer and investment spending in coming quarters. The financial sector and credit quakes come later as collateral values plummet and exposures come into view. In the early 1930s the epicentre of the credit collapse was middle Europe (most of all Germany); today Europe would also be central, but we should also factor in Asia (and of course China in particular).

And there is much scenario-building around the topics of ugly political and geo-political developments that could add to the woes of the global downturn. Indeed profound shock developments are well within the normal range of probabilistic vision in the UK, France and Germany – a subject for another day. And such vision should also encompass China.

Economic Viewpoint

DISCLAIMERS

This report has been prepared by the Economic Research department within **MUFG Securities EMEA plc (“MUS(EMEA)”)** and may be distributed to you either by **MUS(EMEA)** or by another subsidiary of the Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group (“MUFG”) which carries on a securities related business.

LEGAL ENTITIES AND BRANCHES

The securities related businesses within MUFG (together referred to in this presentation as “**MUFG Securities**”) are: (1) MUFG SECURITIES EMEA PLC (“**MUS(EMEA)**”) which is authorised in the United Kingdom by the Prudential Regulation Authority (“**PRA**”) and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (“**FCA**”) and the PRA (FS Registration Number 124512). MUS(EMEA) has a branch office that is registered at Level 3, East Wing, The Gate, Dubai International Financial Centre, PO Box 506894, Dubai, UAE (“**Dubai Branch**”). The Dubai Branch is authorised to operate in the Dubai International Financial Centre (“**DIFC**”) as a Non-DIFC Entity (Commercial License Number CL1656) and is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority (Reference Number F002623); (2) MUFG SECURITIES AMERICAS INC. (“**MUS(USA)**”) which is registered in the United States with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“**SEC**”) and regulated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“**FINRA**”) (SEC# 8-43026; CRD# 19685); (3) MUFG SECURITIES (CANADA), LTD. (“**MUS(CAN)**”) which is registered in Canada with the Ontario Securities Commission (“**OSC**”) and regulated by the Investment Industry Regulatory Organization of Canada (“**IIROC**”) and registered in the United States with the SEC, regulated by FINRA (SEC# 8-69693; CRD# 281904); (4) MUFG SECURITIES ASIA LIMITED (“**MUS(HK)**”) which is incorporated in Hong Kong, licensed under the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Ordinance and regulated by the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission (Central Entity Number AAA889). MUS(HK) is registered as a foreign company under the Corporations Act 2001 of Australia ARBN No. 169 329 453; and (5) MUFG Securities Asia (Singapore) Limited (“**MUS(SPR)**”) which is licensed as an approved merchant bank by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. In respect of the financial services provided to wholesale clients in Australia, MUS(HK), MUS(EMEA), MUS(USA) and MUS(SPR) are each exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services licence under the Corporations Act 2001 of Australia under the Australian Securities and Investments Commission Class Order Exemption CO 03/1099, CO 03/1103, CO 03/1100, and CO 03/1102, respectively. Each of MUS(HK), MUS(EMEA), MUS(USA), MUS(CAN), and MUS(SPR) are regulated under the laws of Hong Kong, the United Kingdom, the United States, Canada and Singapore respectively, which differ from Australian laws.

GENERAL DISCLOSURES

This report is for information purposes only and should not be construed as investment research or a solicitation of any offer to buy or sell any security, commodity, futures contract or related derivative (hereafter “instrument”) or to participate in any trading strategy. This report does not constitute a personal recommendation and does not take into account the individual financial circumstances, needs or objectives of the recipients. Recipients should therefore seek their own financial, legal, tax or other advice before deciding to invest in any of the instruments mentioned in this report.

Certain information contained in this report has been obtained or derived from third party sources and such information is believed to be correct and reliable but has not been independently verified. MUFG Securities does not make any guarantee, representation, warranty or undertaking, express or implied, as to the fairness, accuracy, reliability, completeness, adequacy or appropriateness of any information or comments contained in this report. Furthermore the information may not be current due to, among other things, changes in the financial markets or economic environment. MUFG Securities has no obligation to update any such information contained in this report.

This report is not intended to forecast or predict future events. Past performance is not a guarantee or indication of future results. Any prices provided herein (other than those identified as being historical) are indicative only and do not represent firm quotes as to either price or size.

This report is proprietary to MUFG Securities and may not be quoted, circulated or otherwise referred to without our prior written consent. Notwithstanding this, MUFG Securities shall not be liable in any manner whatsoever for any consequences or loss (including but not limited to any direct, indirect or consequential loss, loss of profits and damages) arising from any reliance on or usage of this report and accepts no legal responsibility to any investor who directly or indirectly receives this material.

COUNTRY AND REGION SPECIFIC DISCLOSURES

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or is located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to any law, regulation or rule.

In this regard, please note the following in relation to the jurisdictions in which MUFG Securities has a local presence:

- United Kingdom / European Economic Area (EEA): This report is intended for distribution to a “professional client” or “eligible counterparty” as those terms are defined in the rules of the FCA and PRA. In other EEA countries, this report is intended only for persons regarded as professional investors (or equivalent) in their home jurisdiction.
- United States of America: This report, when distributed by MUS(USA), is intended for Institutional Investors (“Institutional Accounts” as defined by FINRA Rule 4512(c)). When distributed by a non-US affiliate of MUS(USA), this report is intended for distribution solely to “major U.S. institutional investors” or “U.S. institutional investors” pursuant to Rule 15a-6 under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Securities referenced in this report may have been underwritten by MUS(USA) and/or its affiliates. Nothing in this report should be considered an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or any other financial product or a commitment of any kind with respect to any transaction.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: MUFG Securities does not provide tax advice. Accordingly, any discussion of U.S. tax matters included herein (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, in connection with the promotion, marketing or

Economic Viewpoint

recommendation by anyone not affiliated with MUS(USA) of any of the matters addressed herein or for the purpose of avoiding U.S. tax-related penalties.

- Hong Kong: This report is only intended for distribution to a “professional investor” as that term is defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance and should not be passed onto any other person.
- Singapore: This report is only intended for distribution to an “institutional investor”, “accredited investor” or “expert investor” as those terms are defined under regulation 2 of the Financial Advisers Regulation. It is solely for the use of such investors and shall not be distributed, forwarded, passed on or disseminated to any other person. Investors should note that, as a result of exemptions that apply when this report is distributed to “accredited investors” and “expert investors”, MUSS is exempt from complying with certain requirements under the Financial Advisers Act, including section 25 of the Financial Advisers Act (which requires a financial adviser to disclose all material information on certain investment products), section 27 (which requires a financial adviser to have a reasonable basis for making recommendations on investments) and section 36 (which requires a financial adviser to disclose any interests that it holds in securities that it recommends).
- Japan: This Note, when distributed by MUFG Securities affiliates located outside of Japan, is intended for distribution in accordance with Article 58-2 of the Financial Instruments Exchange Act 1948 (“FIEA”) i) to a “Financial Instruments Business Operator” engaged in “Securities-Related Business” as defined in the FIEA or ii) to the government, the Bank of Japan, a qualified financial institution defined in Article 209 of the Cabinet Office Ordinance Concerning Financial Instruments Business, Etc., or an Investment Manager. When distributed by Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd., this Note is intended for distribution to a “Professional Investor (tokutei-toushika)” as defined in the FIEA.
- United Arab Emirates: This report is only intended for distribution to a “Professional Client” or “Market Counterparty” as those terms are defined under the rules of the Dubai Financial Services Authority and only a person meeting the criteria for these terms should act upon this report.
- Australia: This Note is only intended for distribution to persons in Australia who are sophisticated or professional investors for the purposes of section 708 of the Corporations Act of Australia, and are wholesale clients for the purposes of section 761G of the Corporations Act of Australia. This Note is not intended to be distributed or passed on, directly or indirectly, to any other class of persons in Australia.

Other jurisdictions:

MUFG Securities also relies on local registrations or regulatory exemptions in order to undertake certain securities business in other countries. In Thailand, MUS(EMEA) has a derivatives dealer registration with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Thailand. In Canada, MUS(EMEA) and MUS(USA) each operate under an international dealer exemption registered with the securities regulators. MUS(EMEA) operates under the exemption in Alberta, Quebec, Ontario, British Columbia and Manitoba. MUS(USA) operates under the exemption in all Canadian Provinces and Territories.